कमांक 1989-ज(II)-82/2090 — पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार मधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राध्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें माज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए) (1) तथा 3(1) के धनुसार सीप गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल, श्रीमती दुर्गा देवी, विधवा श्री रिछपाल सिंह, गांव मानहरु, तहसील लोहारु, जिला भिवानी, को रबी, 1966 से रबी, 1970 तक 100 रुपये वाधिक तथा खरीफ, 1970 से खरीफ, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वाधिक तथा रबी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वाधिक कीमत की युद्ध जामीर सनद में दी गई मातों के अनुसार सहर्ष-प्रदान करते हैं।

कमांक 1987-ज(II)-82/2094.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उस में आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के अनुसार सौंपे गये अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्रीमती थापा, विधवा श्री चादगी राम, गांव अख्यारपुर, तहसील दादरी, जिला मिवानी, को रबी, 1978 से खरीफ, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रबी, 1980 से 300 रुपए वार्षिक कीमत की युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शतों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

टी० ग्रार० तूली, ग्रवर सचिव, हरियाणा सरकार, राजस्व विभाग।

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

(MARKET COMMITTEE)

The 17th Februry, 1983

No. 332-Agr. S.(1)-83/2512.—Wereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of Grain Market and Staff Quarters at Gathi Hadbast No. 52 tahsil Narwana district Jind by the Market Committee, Narwana for which notification No. 1927-Agri-II (5)-82/7135, dated the 18th May, 1982 under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 has been published, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is needed for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the information of all to whom it may concern.

The Plan of the land may be inspected in the office of the Land Acquisition Collector, Directorate of Agriculture Haryana, Kothi No 879, Sector-8 Panchkula.

SPECIFICATION

District .	Tehsil	Locality/	Y21 -	-	Area	
		Village and Hadbast No.	Khasra No	<u></u>	ζ.	М
Jind	Nawana	Garhí, H. B. No. 52	34/11	·	7	12
•			12 19		8	· 0
	•	•	20		7	12
	٠	•	21	, • ·	7	12
. e. •	•	•	22	• .	8	0
. •	٠.		35/14		9	14
•			15		8	Õ
			. 16		8	0

PART I]

District	Tahsil	Locality/ Village Hadbast No.		Khasra No.	Arca			
					K	- P P P	M	
				17		9	18	
	· .		_	23	,	2	2 .	
			•	35/24/1		7	· 4	•
	•	••		24/2		0	16	
		•		25		8	0	
		•	•	43/3		1	18	
		,	· -	4		7	11	
				5		7	· 11	
			•	44/1/1 .		6	15	
			•	1/2		.0	8	
•		•	• ,	2/1		7	2	
		•		2/2	, in the second	0	9	
		,		185 min		3.	18	
		•	Tút	al		136	2	
	•			A 1	К 0 •	M •2		

J. D. GUPTA,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Agriculture Department.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Order

The 18th February, 1983

No. ID/GGN/14-82/6228.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Nandu Parshad and the management of M/s Maruti Udyog Ltd., Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Nandu Parshad was justified and in order? If
not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/4-83/6235.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shiv Mangal and the management of M/s Maruti Udyog Ltd., Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G.-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the disupte as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shiv Mangal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/6/83/6242.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Karan Bhadur and the management of N/s. Maruti Udyog Ltd., Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana, considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab 57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Karan Bhadur was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 23rd February, 1983

No. ID/AMB/230-82/7197.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Siri Ram and the management of M/s Micro Teknik, 4460, D.C. Road, Ambala Cantt, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11295, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Siri Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/AMB/2-82/7203.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri B. N. Gautam and the management of M/s Indian Sulphacid Industries Ltd., G.T. Road, Shahabad Markanda District Kurukshetra, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted ,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri B. N. Gautam was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entiled?

No. ID/AMB/226-82/7221.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Radhey Sham and the management of M/s The Ambala Central Co-operative Bank Ltd., Ambala City regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication;—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Radhey Sham was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/229-82/7227.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bhagwan Dass and the management of M/s R. M. Control (P) Ltd. Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bhagwan Dass was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGM/7/83/7234.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Chhatar Singh and the management of M/s. Maruti Udyog Ltd., Gurgaon regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section of (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Chhatar Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGM/10/82/7241.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industria dispute exists between the workman Shri Subhash and the management of M/s. Maruti Udyog Ltd, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Subhash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGM/5/83/7248.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen Shri Braham Parkash and the management of M/s. Maruti Udyog Ltd., Gurgaon regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause(c) of sub-section) (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad consituted, wide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification